



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/091,265	03/05/2002	Mark Alan Bilansky	AUS920020087US1	6432

7590

11/16/2005

Duke W. Yee  
Carstens, Yee & Cahoon, LLP  
P.O. Box 802334  
Dallas, TX 75380

EXAMINER
----------

GEREZGIHER, YEMANE M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2144

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/091,265	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BILANSKY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Yemane M. Gerezgiher	<b>Art Unit</b> 2144	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Yemane M. Gerezgiher. (3) Marc D. Thompson.  
 (2) Cathrine K. Kinslow (Registration # 51886). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 09 November 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 10.

Identification of prior art discussed: Zerber (U.S. Patent Number 5,951,636) and Ross (WO 200242860 A).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the claimed limitations associated with processing header information of electronic messages, updating attribute file indicating read/unread status of the electronic messages on a Post Office Protocol 3 (POP3) server, and further attempted to differentiate the claimed limitations from the standard limitations of the Internet Messaging Access Protocol (IMAP).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

MARC D. THOMPSON  
 MARC THOMPSON  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required